

## **REMARKS**

This Reply and Amendment is intended to be completely responsive to the final Office Action. Claims 1-4, 6-37 and 39-58 are pending in this Application and stand rejected. Upon entry of this Amendment, independent Claims 1, 18, 34 and 51 will be amended, and dependent Claims 6-16, 23-33, 39-50, 52-55 and 57-58 will be amended.

### **Interview Summary**

Attorney Walter E. Zimmerman and Examiner Fitzgerald held a telephonic interview on May 2, 2003 to discuss the rejection of the pending claims. Attorney Zimmerman, on behalf of the Applicants, agreed to review the independent claims to ensure that the terminology recited in the claims expressed the intended claim scope with sufficient clarity and in a manner that would distinguish the subject matter intended to be claimed from subject matter disclosed in the cited references. Examiner Fitzgerald requested that Attorney Zimmerman review all of the cited references in view of the pending claims. The amendments to the independent claims presented in this Amendment are intended to recite subject matter that the Applicants believe is patentable in view of the cited references.

### **Claim Rejections**

Independent Claims 1, 18 and 51 have been amended and now recite a "movable support system" comprising, in combination with other elements, a "display support assembly having a first section movably coupled to the track and a second section projecting beyond the track into the work space and configured for attachment" of "at least one display device" [Claims 1 and 51] or "to at least two display panels" [Claim 18] "wherein the second section of the display support assembly is cantilevered away from the mounting structure so that clearance is provided between the display support assembly and the floor of the work space."

Independent Claim 34 has been amended and now recites a "movable support system" comprising, in combination with other elements, a "display support assembly having a first section movably coupled to the track and a second section cantilevered away from the mounting structure and projecting beyond the track into the work space and configured for attachment of the display device so that a clearance is provided between the display support assembly and the floor of the work space."

None of the cited references, alone or in any proper combination, disclose, teach or suggest a "movable support system" as now recited in independent Claims 1, 18, 34 and 51 (as amended).

Dependent Claims 6-16, 23-33 39-50 (as amended) have been amended to clarify terminology and now recite a "display support assembly." Dependent Claims 52-55 (as amended) and 57-58 (as amended) have been amended to recite terminology consistent with their respective independent base claims (as amended).

The rejections of pending Claims 1-4, 6-37 and 39-58 based on U.S. Patent No. 4,637,666 ("Worrell et al."), U.S. Patent No. 5,282,341 ("Baloga et al."), U.S. Patent No. 6,275,375 ("Nam"), and U.S. Patent No. 5,904,328 ("Leveridge et al.") under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) have been overcome. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request entry of this Amendment and allowance of pending Claims 1-4, 6-37 and 39-58.

\* \* \*

The Applicants respectfully submit that each and every outstanding rejection to the pending claims has been overcome, and the Application is in condition for allowance. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of pending Claims 1-4, 6-37, and 39-58.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date MAY 27, 2003

FOLEY & LARDNER  
Customer Number: 26371



26371

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Telephone: (414) 297-5591  
Facsimile: (414) 297-4900

By

John M. Lazarus  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 48,367